



## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/664,365	09/17/2003	Dean Crue	13342US03 4563		
23446 75	590 02/23/2006		EXAMINER		
	/S HELD & MALLOY, I	BROWN, PETER R			
	DISON STREET	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
SUITE 3400			AKTONII	FAFER NUMBER	
CHICAGO, IL	60661	3636			
			DATE MAILED: 02/23/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.		Applicant(s)				
		10/664,365		CRUE, DEAN				
Office Action Summary		Examiner		Art Unit				
		Peter R. Br		3636				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply								
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).								
Status								
1)🖂	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 01 D	ecember 20	<u>95</u> .					
2a)□	2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☑ This action is non-final.							
3)	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition	on of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-4 and 8-10</u> is/are pending in the application.								
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5)	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)🖂	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-4 and 8-10</u> is/are rejected.							
	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8)	8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Application	on Papers							
9) 🔲 🗆	9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.								
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).								
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) 🗌 🗸	12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:								
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.								
:	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage								
	application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.								
Attachment	• •		_					
	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4	I) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da					
3) 🔀 Inform	3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)							
	No(s)/Mail Date		6)  Other:					
U.S. Patent and Tra PTOL-326 (Re		ction Summary	Pa	rt of Paper No./Mail D	Pate 20060214			

Claims 5-7 and 11-13 have been withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected species, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election was made without traverse in the reply filed on December 1, 2005.

Claims 1-4,8-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In claim 1, the "cut-out portion" has not been sufficiently defined, neither in its location relative to the seat and the other elements, nor its function in terms of interacting with the legs of a second stacking stool. In other words, the claim does not specifically set forth where the cut-out is located, and how does it cooperate with another chair to limit movement.

Claim 2 is also indefinite in that the bracing system has not been sufficiently located nor defined in structural terms, nor does the claim set forth how movement is limited.

Claim 3 fails to sufficiently define the "footrest", nor the function and purpose of the "bend". While claim 4 provides some of the function of the "bend", the claim should specify that the bend encompasses the leg of the other stool when the stools are in a stacked orientation.

The same objections apply to claims 8-10.

Application/Control Number: 10/664,365

Art Unit: 3636

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 1-4 and 8-10, so far as definite, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Bruschi et al.

Figures 1-5 show structure as claimed, including a stacking "stool", wherein the seat has cut-outs 10a for engaging the leg of another chair, and which includes a leg bracing system wherein a "bend" 13 in a foot member engages a part of a leg support 11b of another chair to limit movement therebetween.

Note that absent defining structure in the applicant's claims, the examiner is free to define the "footrest", "bend" and "leg bracing system" in the broadest possible sense.

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Cohen, Nash, Newman, Bliss and Rowland show various leg bracing systems, while Guichon, Smith and Grandclement show seats with cut-outs.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Peter R. Brown whose telephone number is 571-

Art Unit: 3636

272-6853. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

> Brown **Primary Examiner** Art Unit 3636

prb